

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS	15
A PRELIMINARY NOTE	17
ABSTRACT	19
INTRODUCTION	21
1. Aims and research topic	22
2. Contribution to current research.	26
3. Theoretical approximation towards European disintegration	28
a) European integration: a turning point in the ever-closer union logic.	28
b) Main contributions of intergovernmental, institutionalist, neofunctionalist and postfunctionalist theories.	29
c) Literature review and proposal to define European disintegration from the public international law framework	32
4. Structure of the book and research design	34

CHAPTER I

THE IMPACT OF THE EUROZONE CRISIS ON THE EUROPEAN INTEGRATION PROCESS

1. Introduction.	37
2. Regulatory, political and economic context	38
a) Exogenous and endogenous causes of the eurozone crisis	38
b) No bailout clause	43
c) Analysis of economic governance instruments and its impact on the integration process.	51
3. Main features of the renewed economic governance of the EU	62
a) Redefining the role of the EU institutions.	62

TABLE OF CONTENTS

b) The method of the Union and the community method	67
c) German hegemony	69
d) Constitutional mutation and institutional variation	70
e) Politization and interdependence	72
f) The tale between debtor and creditor Member States.	73
4. Consequences of the eurozone crisis in relation to the integration process	75
a) Vertical dimension: the authority of European institutions has been strengthened, except for the European Parliament	75
b) Sectoral dimension: the areas of governance of the EU have increased, with instruments adopted outside the EU legal order	77
c) Horizontal dimension: the number of States in the eurozone has increased.	80
5. Explanatory factors.	81
a) High costs and interdependence	82
b) The prominent role of the ECB and Germany.	83
6. Underlying implications for the EU.	84
a) The governance of the eurozone has deepened the intergovernmental bias of the Union, although the NextGenerationEU program opens a path towards supranationalism	84
b) The greatest integration from the legal point of view has not promoted the values of transparency and accountability	86
c) The instruments that have strengthened the governance of the eurozone have been based on budget stability and fiscal discipline, which has exacerbated the differences between the States.	87
7. Conclusions	88

CHAPTER II

THE IMPACT OF THE MIGRATION CRISIS ON THE EUROPEAN INTEGRATION PROCESS

1. Introduction.	91
2. Regulatory and jurisprudential framework	92
a) First stage: the construction of the Dublin System and the Schengen Area (1990–2008)	93
b) Second stage: the EU response to the migration crisis (2010–2019).	98
c) Third stage: the design of the future legal regime regarding migration and asylum (2020–2021).	110
3. Social and political context.	120
a) Valletta Summit on Migration.	121
b) The European Commission plan to relocate 160,000 asylum seekers	121
c) The reintroduction of border controls	125

TABLE OF CONTENTS

d) The EU-Turkey Statement	126
4. Consequences of the refugee crisis in relation to the integration process	130
a) Vertical dimension: the authority of the European institutions has been undermined	130
b) Sectoral dimension: there has been a renationalization of supranational powers	133
c) Horizontal dimension: the number of States that are part of the migration and asylum policies of the EU has not changed	135
5. Explanatory factors	136
a) Lack of will of Member States to respond to the migration crisis: the absence of a common good to protect	136
b) Inability of European institutions to apply EU law: shared distribution of powers, absence of a European negotiator and lack of effective mechanisms in case of non-compliance	137
6. Underlying implications for the EU	141
a) The disintegration in the area of migration and asylum has led to the weakening of the rule of law in the EU	141
b) The high level of politicization has introduced positions contrary to the reception of asylum seekers in mainstream parties	142
c) More regulation does not mean appropriate regulation	143
7. Conclusions	144

CHAPTER III

THE IMPACT OF UK WITHDRAWAL ON THE EUROPEAN INTEGRATION PROCESS

1. Introduction	147
2. Regulatory, political, and social context	148
a) Evolution of the position of the UK regarding European integration: from the veto of De Gaulle to the promise of Cameron (1963–2015)	148
b) Brexit referendum, electoral campaign and result (2016)	151
3. Negotiations on the withdrawal of the UK (2017–2020)	157
a) Article 50 TEU: revocation of the intention to withdraw from the EU, formal notification and extension of the two-year period	157
b) Stages in the negotiation	161
c) Constitutional crisis	163
d) Asymmetric positions of the EU and UK during negotiations	166
e) The relevance of the CJEU in the negotiations	169
4. Consequences of Brexit in relation to the integration process	172

TABLE OF CONTENTS

a) Vertical dimension: the authority of the EU has been reinforced because the Member States have gathered their powers over the negotiation process at the European institutions, renouncing intergovernmental agreements	172
b) Sectoral dimension: the governance areas have not decreased... but there have been difficulties in implementing other supranational public policies	173
c) Horizontal dimension: there has been a reduction in the number of States that are part of the EU	175
5. Explanatory factors	176
a) Cohesion between the Member States within the community institutions	176
b) Weakness of the position of the UK in the negotiation: “get Brexit done” and political fragmentation	180
6. Underlying implications for the EU	186
a) The withdrawal of the UK as an opportunity to promote EU	186
b) The EU should have defended the European integration more decisively	187
c) The normalization of relations between the UK and the EU as a pending task	188
7. Conclusions	188

CHAPTER IV

THE IMPACT OF THE UKRAINE WAR ON THE EUROPEAN INTEGRATION PROCESS

1. Introduction	191
2. EU response to Russia’s invasion of Ukraine	192
a) Adoption of packages of sanctions	192
b) Activation of the Temporary Protection Directive	193
c) Other relevant measures: the purchase and delivery of weapons to Ukraine, humanitarian aid, civil protection assistance, Solidarity Trust Fund and finance support	196
d) The strengthening of the EU’s security and defence policy	197
3. Consequences of the Ukraine war crisis in relation to the integration process.	199
a) Vertical dimension: the authority of the European institutions has been strengthened	199
b) Sectoral dimension: not only greater integration but “better” integration	200
c) Horizontal dimension: Ukraine’s long path towards the EU membership	202
4. Explanatory factors	203
5. Underlying implications for the EU	205
6. Conclusions	205

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONCLUSIONS 207

1. Most relevant findings of the eurozone, migration and Brexit crisis regarding the EU disintegration process 207

2. Explanatory factors in comparative perspective: similarities and differences between crises. 209

3. European disintegration process: reflections on uncertain scenarios. 213

REFERENCES 215

Bibliography 215

 Monographs 215

 Book chapters. 218

 Publications in scientific journals 221

Documentary index 238

 Jurisprudence 238

 Legislation. 239

 Documentation 243